

Θ and Big- Ω

COMS10017 - (Object-Oriented Programming and) Algorithms

Dr Christian Konrad

O-notation: Upper Bound

O-notation: Upper Bound

- Runtime $O(f(n))$ means on any input of length n the runtime is bounded by some function in $O(f(n))$

O-notation: Upper Bound

- Runtime $O(f(n))$ means on any input of length n the runtime is bounded by some function in $O(f(n))$
- If runtime is $O(n^2)$, then the actual runtime could also be in $O(\log n)$, $O(n)$, $O(n \log n)$, $O(n\sqrt{n})$, etc...

O-notation: Upper Bound

- Runtime $O(f(n))$ means on any input of length n the runtime is bounded by some function in $O(f(n))$
- If runtime is $O(n^2)$, then the actual runtime could also be in $O(\log n)$, $O(n)$, $O(n \log n)$, $O(n\sqrt{n})$, etc...

This is a Strong Point:

O-notation: Upper Bound

- Runtime $O(f(n))$ means on any input of length n the runtime is bounded by some function in $O(f(n))$
- If runtime is $O(n^2)$, then the actual runtime could also be in $O(\log n)$, $O(n)$, $O(n \log n)$, $O(n\sqrt{n})$, etc...

This is a Strong Point:

- Worst case running time: A runtime of $O(f(n))$ guarantees that algorithm won't be slower, but may be faster

O-notation: Upper Bound

- Runtime $O(f(n))$ means on any input of length n the runtime is bounded by some function in $O(f(n))$
- If runtime is $O(n^2)$, then the actual runtime could also be in $O(\log n)$, $O(n)$, $O(n \log n)$, $O(n\sqrt{n})$, etc...

This is a Strong Point:

- Worst case running time: A runtime of $O(f(n))$ guarantees that algorithm won't be slower, but may be faster
- Example: FAST-PEAK-FINDING often faster than $5 \log n$

O-notation: Upper Bound

- Runtime $O(f(n))$ means on any input of length n the runtime is bounded by some function in $O(f(n))$
- If runtime is $O(n^2)$, then the actual runtime could also be in $O(\log n)$, $O(n)$, $O(n \log n)$, $O(n\sqrt{n})$, etc...

This is a Strong Point:

- Worst case running time: A runtime of $O(f(n))$ guarantees that algorithm won't be slower, but may be faster
- Example: FAST-PEAK-FINDING often faster than $5 \log n$

How to Avoid Ambiguities

- Θ -notation: Growth is precisely determined (up to constants)

O-notation: Upper Bound

- Runtime $O(f(n))$ means on any input of length n the runtime is bounded by some function in $O(f(n))$
- If runtime is $O(n^2)$, then the actual runtime could also be in $O(\log n)$, $O(n)$, $O(n \log n)$, $O(n\sqrt{n})$, etc...

This is a Strong Point:

- Worst case running time: A runtime of $O(f(n))$ guarantees that algorithm won't be slower, but may be faster
- Example: FAST-PEAK-FINDING often faster than $5 \log n$

How to Avoid Ambiguities

- Θ -notation: Growth is precisely determined (up to constants)
- Ω -notation: Gives us a lower bound (up to constants)

“Theta”-notation:

Growth is precisely determined up to constants

Definition: Θ -notation (“Theta”)

Let $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a function. Then $\Theta(g(n))$ is the set of functions:

$$\Theta(g(n)) = \{f(n) : \text{There exist positive constants } c_1, c_2 \text{ and } n_0 \\ \text{s.t. } 0 \leq c_1g(n) \leq f(n) \leq c_2g(n) \text{ for all } n \geq n_0\}$$

“Theta”-notation:

Growth is precisely determined up to constants

Definition: Θ -notation (“Theta”)

Let $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a function. Then $\Theta(g(n))$ is the set of functions:

$$\Theta(g(n)) = \{f(n) : \text{There exist positive constants } c_1, c_2 \text{ and } n_0 \\ \text{s.t. } 0 \leq c_1g(n) \leq f(n) \leq c_2g(n) \text{ for all } n \geq n_0\}$$

$f \in \Theta(g)$: “ f is asymptotically sandwiched between constant multiples of g ”

Lemma

The following statements are equivalent:

- 1 $f \in \Theta(g)$
- 2 $g \in \Theta(f)$

Lemma

The following statements are equivalent:

- 1 $f \in \Theta(g)$
- 2 $g \in \Theta(f)$

Proof.

Lemma

The following statements are equivalent:

- 1 $f \in \Theta(g)$
- 2 $g \in \Theta(f)$

Proof. Suppose that $f \in \Theta(g)$.

Lemma

The following statements are equivalent:

- 1 $f \in \Theta(g)$
- 2 $g \in \Theta(f)$

Proof. Suppose that $f \in \Theta(g)$. We need to prove that there are positive constants C_1, C_2, N_0 such that

$$0 \leq C_1 f(n) \leq g(n) \leq C_2 f(n), \text{ for all } n \geq N_0. \quad (1)$$

Lemma

The following statements are equivalent:

- 1 $f \in \Theta(g)$
- 2 $g \in \Theta(f)$

Proof. Suppose that $f \in \Theta(g)$. We need to prove that there are positive constants C_1, C_2, N_0 such that

$$0 \leq C_1 f(n) \leq g(n) \leq C_2 f(n), \text{ for all } n \geq N_0. \quad (1)$$

Since $f \in \Theta(g)$, there are positive constants c_1, c_2, n_0 s.t.

$$0 \leq c_1 g(n) \leq f(n) \leq c_2 g(n), \text{ for all } n \geq n_0. \quad (2)$$

Lemma

The following statements are equivalent:

- 1 $f \in \Theta(g)$
- 2 $g \in \Theta(f)$

Proof. Suppose that $f \in \Theta(g)$. We need to prove that there are positive constants C_1, C_2, N_0 such that

$$0 \leq C_1 f(n) \leq g(n) \leq C_2 f(n), \text{ for all } n \geq N_0. \quad (1)$$

Since $f \in \Theta(g)$, there are positive constants c_1, c_2, n_0 s.t.

$$0 \leq c_1 g(n) \leq f(n) \leq c_2 g(n), \text{ for all } n \geq n_0. \quad (2)$$

Setting $C_1 = \frac{1}{c_2}$, $C_2 = \frac{1}{c_1}$, $N_0 = n_0$, then (1) is equivalent to (2).

Lemma

The following statements are equivalent:

- 1 $f \in \Theta(g)$
- 2 $g \in \Theta(f)$

Proof. Suppose that $f \in \Theta(g)$. We need to prove that there are positive constants C_1, C_2, N_0 such that

$$0 \leq C_1 f(n) \leq g(n) \leq C_2 f(n), \text{ for all } n \geq N_0. \quad (1)$$

Since $f \in \Theta(g)$, there are positive constants c_1, c_2, n_0 s.t.

$$0 \leq c_1 g(n) \leq f(n) \leq c_2 g(n), \text{ for all } n \geq n_0. \quad (2)$$

Setting $C_1 = \frac{1}{c_2}$, $C_2 = \frac{1}{c_1}$, $N_0 = n_0$, then (1) is equivalent to (2). □

More on Theta

Lemma (Relationship between Θ and Big- O)

The following statements are equivalent:

- 1 $f \in \Theta(g)$
- 2 $f \in O(g)$ and $g \in O(f)$

More on Theta

Lemma (Relationship between Θ and Big- O)

The following statements are equivalent:

- 1 $f \in \Theta(g)$
- 2 $f \in O(g)$ and $g \in O(f)$

Proof. \rightarrow Exercise.

More on Theta

Lemma (Relationship between Θ and Big- O)

The following statements are equivalent:

- 1 $f \in \Theta(g)$
- 2 $f \in O(g)$ and $g \in O(f)$

Proof. \rightarrow Exercise.

Runtime of Algorithm in $\Theta(f(n))$?

More on Theta

Lemma (Relationship between Θ and Big- O)

The following statements are equivalent:

- 1 $f \in \Theta(g)$
- 2 $f \in O(g)$ and $g \in O(f)$

Proof. \rightarrow Exercise.

Runtime of Algorithm in $\Theta(f(n))$?

- Only makes sense if alg. *always* requires $\Theta(f(n))$ steps, i.e., both *best-case* and *worst-case* runtime are $\Theta(f(n))$

More on Theta

Lemma (Relationship between Θ and Big- O)

The following statements are equivalent:

- 1 $f \in \Theta(g)$
- 2 $f \in O(g)$ and $g \in O(f)$

Proof. \rightarrow Exercise.

Runtime of Algorithm in $\Theta(f(n))$?

- Only makes sense if alg. *always* requires $\Theta(f(n))$ steps, i.e., both *best-case* and *worst-case* runtime are $\Theta(f(n))$
- This is not the case in FAST-PEAK-FINDING

More on Theta

Lemma (Relationship between Θ and Big- O)

The following statements are equivalent:

- 1 $f \in \Theta(g)$
- 2 $f \in O(g)$ and $g \in O(f)$

Proof. \rightarrow Exercise.

Runtime of Algorithm in $\Theta(f(n))$?

- Only makes sense if alg. *always* requires $\Theta(f(n))$ steps, i.e., both *best-case* and *worst-case* runtime are $\Theta(f(n))$
- This is not the case in FAST-PEAK-FINDING
- However, correct to say that worst-case runtime of alg. is $\Theta(f(n))$

Big Omega-Notation:

Definition: Ω -notation (“Big Omega”)

Let $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a function. Then $\Omega(g(n))$ is the set of functions:

$$\Omega(g(n)) = \{f(n) : \text{There exist positive constants } c \text{ and } n_0 \text{ such that } 0 \leq cg(n) \leq f(n) \text{ for all } n \geq n_0\}$$

Big Omega-Notation:

Definition: Ω -notation (“Big Omega”)

Let $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a function. Then $\Omega(g(n))$ is the set of functions:

$$\Omega(g(n)) = \{f(n) : \text{There exist positive constants } c \text{ and } n_0 \text{ such that } 0 \leq cg(n) \leq f(n) \text{ for all } n \geq n_0\}$$

$f \in \Omega(g)$: “ f grows asymptotically at least as fast as g up to constants”

Lemma

The following statements are equivalent:

- 1 $f \in \Omega(g)$
- 2 $g \in O(f)$

Lemma

The following statements are equivalent:

- 1 $f \in \Omega(g)$
- 2 $g \in O(f)$

Proof.

Lemma

The following statements are equivalent:

- 1 $f \in \Omega(g)$
- 2 $g \in O(f)$

Proof. \rightarrow Exercise.

Lemma

The following statements are equivalent:

- 1 $f \in \Omega(g)$
- 2 $g \in O(f)$

Proof. \rightarrow Exercise.

Examples: Big Omega

Lemma

The following statements are equivalent:

- 1 $f \in \Omega(g)$
- 2 $g \in O(f)$

Proof. \rightarrow Exercise.

Examples: Big Omega

- $10n^2 \in \Omega(n)$

Lemma

The following statements are equivalent:

- 1 $f \in \Omega(g)$
- 2 $g \in O(f)$

Proof. \rightarrow Exercise.

Examples: Big Omega

- $10n^2 \in \Omega(n)$
- $6^n \in \Omega(n^8)$

Lemma

The following statements are equivalent:

- 1 $f \in \Omega(g)$
- 2 $g \in O(f)$

Proof. \rightarrow Exercise.

Examples: Big Omega

- $10n^2 \in \Omega(n)$
- $6^n \in \Omega(n^8)$
- Reverse examples for Big-O to obtain more examples

Lemma

The following statements are equivalent:

- 1 $f \in \Omega(g)$
- 2 $g \in O(f)$

Proof. \rightarrow Exercise.

Examples: Big Omega

- $10n^2 \in \Omega(n)$
- $6^n \in \Omega(n^8)$
- Reverse examples for Big-O to obtain more examples

Runtime of Algorithm in $\Omega(f)$?

Lemma

The following statements are equivalent:

- 1 $f \in \Omega(g)$
- 2 $g \in O(f)$

Proof. \rightarrow Exercise.

Examples: Big Omega

- $10n^2 \in \Omega(n)$
- $6^n \in \Omega(n^8)$
- Reverse examples for Big-O to obtain more examples

Runtime of Algorithm in $\Omega(f)$?

Only makes sense if best-case runtime is in $\Omega(f)$

Using O , Ω , Θ in Equations

Notation

- O , Ω , Θ are often used in equations

Using O , Ω , Θ in Equations

Notation

- O , Ω , Θ are often used in equations
- \in is then replaced by $=$

Using O , Ω , Θ in Equations

Notation

- O , Ω , Θ are often used in equations
- \in is then replaced by $=$

Examples

Using O , Ω , Θ in Equations

Notation

- O , Ω , Θ are often used in equations
- \in is then replaced by $=$

Examples

- $4n^3 = O(n^3)$

Using O , Ω , Θ in Equations

Notation

- O , Ω , Θ are often used in equations
- \in is then replaced by $=$

Examples

- $4n^3 = O(n^3)$
- $n + 10 = n + O(1)$

Using O , Ω , Θ in Equations

Notation

- O , Ω , Θ are often used in equations
- \in is then replaced by $=$

Examples

- $4n^3 = O(n^3)$
- $n + 10 = n + O(1)$
- $10n^2 + 1/n = 10n^2 + O(1)$

Using O , Ω , Θ in Equations

Notation

- O , Ω , Θ are often used in equations
- \in is then replaced by $=$

Examples

- $4n^3 = O(n^3)$
- $n + 10 = n + O(1)$
- $10n^2 + 1/n = 10n^2 + O(1)$

Observe

Using O , Ω , Θ in Equations

Notation

- O , Ω , Θ are often used in equations
- \in is then replaced by $=$

Examples

- $4n^3 = O(n^3)$
- $n + 10 = n + O(1)$
- $10n^2 + 1/n = 10n^2 + O(1)$

Observe

- Sloppy but very convenient

Using O , Ω , Θ in Equations

Notation

- O , Ω , Θ are often used in equations
- \in is then replaced by $=$

Examples

- $4n^3 = O(n^3)$
- $n + 10 = n + O(1)$
- $10n^2 + 1/n = 10n^2 + O(1)$

Observe

- Sloppy but very convenient
- When using O , Θ , Ω in equations then details get lost

Using O , Ω , Θ in Equations

Notation

- O , Ω , Θ are often used in equations
- \in is then replaced by $=$

Examples

- $4n^3 = O(n^3)$
- $n + 10 = n + O(1)$
- $10n^2 + 1/n = 10n^2 + O(1)$

Observe

- Sloppy but very convenient
- When using O , Θ , Ω in equations then details get lost
- This allows us to focus on the essential part of an equation

Using O , Ω , Θ in Equations

Notation

- O , Ω , Θ are often used in equations
- \in is then replaced by $=$

Examples

- $4n^3 = O(n^3)$
- $n + 10 = n + O(1)$
- $10n^2 + 1/n = 10n^2 + O(1)$

Observe

- Sloppy but very convenient
- When using O , Θ , Ω in equations then details get lost
- This allows us to focus on the essential part of an equation
- Not reversible! E.g., $n + 10 = n + O(1)$ but $n + O(1) \neq n + 10\dots$