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## Can we sort faster than $O(n \log n)$ time?

Recall: Fastest runtime of any sorting algorithm seen is $O(n \log n)$

## Can we sort faster?

Yes! sometimes, but not all algorithms can, and we generally don't know how to ...

Example: Sort an array $A \in\{0,1\}^{n}$ in time $O(n)$ ?

- Count number of $0 \mathrm{~s} n_{0}$
- Write $n_{0}$ Os followed by $n-n_{0} 1 \mathrm{~s}$
- Both operations take time $O(n)$
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- Order is determined solely by comparing input elements
- All information obtained is by asking "Is $A[i] \leq A[j]$ ?", for some $i, j$, in particular, we may not inspect the elements
- Quicksort, Mergesort, Insertionsort, Heapsort are comparison-based sorting algorithms


## Lower Bound for Comparison-based Sorting

- We will prove that every comparison-based sorting algorithm requires $\Omega(n \log n)$ comparisons
- This implies that $O(n \log n)$ is an optimal runtime for comparison-based sorting
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## Permutations

- A bijective function $\pi:[n] \rightarrow[n]$ is called a permutation


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi(1)=3 \\
& \pi(2)=2 \\
& \pi(3)=4 \\
& \pi(4)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

- A reordering of [ $n$ ]
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How many permutations are there?
Let $\Pi$ be the set of all permutations on $n$ elements

Lemma
$|\Pi|=n!=n \cdot(n-1) \ldots 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 1$
Proof. The first element can be mapped to $n$ potential elements. The second can only be mapped to $(n-1)$ elements. etc.

Rephrasing our Task: Find permutation $\pi \in \Pi$ such that:

$$
A\left[\pi^{-1}(1)\right]<A\left[\pi^{-1}(2)\right]<\cdots<A\left[\pi^{-1}(n-1)\right]<A\left[\pi^{-1}(n)\right]
$$
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## Example:

Sort 3 elements by asking queries: $A[i]<A[j]$, for $i, j \in\{0,1,2\}$

## How many Queries are needed? (worst case)

## Lemma

At least 3 queries are needed to sort 3 elements.
Proof. Let the three elements be $a, b, c$. Suppose that the first query is $a<b$ and suppose that the answer is yes. (if it is not then relabel the elements $a, b, c$ ). We are left with 3 scenarios:

$$
\text { 1. } a<b<c \quad 2 . a<c<b \quad 3 . c<a<b
$$

Next we either ask $a<c$ or $b<c$. Suppose that we ask $a<c$. Then, if the answer is yes then we are left with cases 1 and 2 and we need an additional query. Suppose that we ask $b<c$. Then, if the answer is no then we are left with cases 2 and 3 and we need an additional query.
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Every Guessing Strategy (and Sorting Algorithm) is a Decision-tree


Observe:

- Every leaf is a permutation
- An execution is a root-to-leaf path
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Proof Observe that decision-tree is a binary tree. Every potential permutation is a leaf. There are $n$ ! leaves. A binary tree of height $h$ has no more than $2^{h}$ leaves. Hence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
2^{h} & \geq n! \\
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## Sorting Lower Bound

## Lemma

Any comparison-based sorting algorithm requires $\Omega(n \log n)$ comparisons.

Proof Observe that decision-tree is a binary tree. Every potential permutation is a leaf. There are $n$ ! leaves. A binary tree of height $h$ has no more than $2^{h}$ leaves. Hence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
2^{h} & \geq n! \\
h & \geq \log (n!) \geq \log \left(\left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^{n}\right)=n \log \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)=\Omega(n \log n)
\end{aligned}
$$

Stirling's approximation: $n!\geq\left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^{n}$

