## Exercise Sheet 7: Answers COMS10017 Algorithms 2023/2024

Reminder: $\log n$ denotes the binary $\operatorname{logarithm}$, i.e., $\log n=\log _{2} n$.

## 1 Countingsort and Radixsort

1. We use Countingsort to sort the following array $A$ :

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline 4 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 2 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

Answer the following questions:
(a) What is the state of the auxiliary array $C$ after the second loop of the algorithm?

## Solution.

$$
C=1 \quad 2 \quad 5 \quad 5 \quad 7
$$

Remark: $C[i]$ indicates how many elements in $A$ have a value less or equal to $i$.
(b) What is the state of $C$ after each iteration $i$ of the third loop?

## Solution.

| $i$ | $C[0]$ | $C[1]$ | $C[2]$ | $C[3]$ | $C[4]$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| initial | 1 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 7 |
| $i=6$ | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 7 |
| $i=5$ | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| $i=4$ | 1 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| $i=3$ | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| $i=2$ | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 6 |
| $i=1$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 6 |
| $i=0$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | $\mathbf{5}$ |

Remark: Observe that the highlighted numbers are all different. Is this a coincidence or is this necessarily always the case?
2. Illustrate how Radixsort sorts the following binary numbers:

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
100110 & 101010 & 001010 & 010111 & 100000 & 000101
\end{array}
$$

## Solution.

| 100110 | 100110 | 100000 | 100000 | 100000 | 100000 | 000101 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 101010 | 101010 | 000101 | 101010 | 000101 | 000101 | 001010 |
| 001010 | 001010 | 100110 | 001010 | 100110 | 100110 | 010111 |
| 010111 | 100000 | 101010 | 000101 | 010111 | 101010 | 100000 |
| 100000 | 010111 | 001010 | 100110 | 101010 | 001010 | 100110 |
| 000101 | 000101 | 010111 | 010111 | 001010 | 010111 | 101010 |

3. Radixsort sorts an array $A$ of length $n$ consisting of $d$-digit numbers where each digit is from the set $\{0,1, \ldots, b\}$ in time $O(d(n+b))$.
We are given an array $A$ of $n$ integers where each integer is polynomially bounded, i.e., each integer is from the range $\left\{0,1, \ldots, n^{c}\right\}$, for some constant $c$. Argue that Radixsort can be used to sort $A$ in time $O(n)$.
Hint: Find a suitable representation of the numbers in $\left\{0,1, \ldots, n^{c}\right\}$ as $d$-digit numbers where each digit comes from a set $\{0,1, \ldots, b\}$ so that Radixsort runs in time $O(n)$. How do you chose $d$ and $b$ ?

Solution. We encode the numbers in $A$ using digits from the set $\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$, i.e., we set $b=n-1$. To be able to encode all numbers in the range $\left\{0,1, \ldots, n^{c}\right\}$ it is required that $(b+1)^{d} \geq n^{c}+1$ (we can encode $(b+1)^{d}$ different numbers using $d$ digits where each digit comes from a set of cardinality $b+1$, and the cardinality of the set $\left\{0,1, \ldots, n^{c}\right\}$ is $n^{c}+1$ ). Since $(b+1)^{d}=n^{d}$, we can set $d=c+1$, since

$$
n^{c+1} \geq n^{c}+1
$$

holds for every $n \geq 2$ (assuming that $c \geq 1$ ). The runtime then is

$$
O(d(n+b))=O((c+1)(n+(n-1)))=O((c+1) 2 n)=O(n),
$$

since 2 and $c+1$ are both constants.

## 2 Loop Invariant for Radixsort

Radixsort is defined as follows:

```
Require: Array \(A\) of length \(n\) consisting of \(d\)-digit numbers where each digit
    is taken from the set \(\{0,1, \ldots, b\}\)
    for \(i=1, \ldots, d\) do
        Use a stable sort algorithm to sort array \(A\) on digit \(i\)
    end for
```

(least significant digit is digit 1)
In this exercise we prove correctness of Radixsort via the following loop invariant:
At the beginning of iteration $i$ of the for-loop, i.e., after $i$ has been updated in Line 1 but Line 2 has not yet been executed, the following holds:

The integers in $A$ are sorted with respect to their last $i-1$ digits.

1. Initialization: Argue that the loop-invariant holds for $i=1$.

Solution. In the beginning of the iteration with $i=1$ the loop-invariant states that the integers in $A$ are sorted with respect to their last $i-1=0$ digits. This is trivially true.
2. Maintenance: Suppose that the loop-invariant is true for some $i$. Show that it then also holds for $i+1$.

Hint: You need to use the fact that the employed sorting algorithm as a subroutine is stable.

Solution. Suppose that the integers in $A$ are sorted with respect to their last $i-1$ digits at the beginning of iteration $i$. We will show that at the beginning of iteration $i+1$ the intergers are sorted with respect to their last $i$ digits.
Let $A_{i+1}$ be the state of $A$ in the beginning of iteration $i+1$. For an integer $x$, let $x^{(i)}$ be the integer obtained by removing all but the last $i$ digits from $x$. Suppose for the sake of a contradiction that there are indices $j, k$ with $j<k$ such that $\left(A_{i+1}[j]\right)^{(i)}>\left(A_{i+1}[k]\right)^{(i)}$. If such integers exist then the loop invariant would not hold. We will show that assuming that these integers exist leads to a contradiction.
First, suppose that digit $i$ of $\left(A_{i+1}[j]\right)^{(i)}$ and digit $i$ of $\left(A_{i+1}[k]\right)^{(i)}$ are identical. Note that this implies $\left(A_{i+1}[j]\right)^{(i-1)}>\left(A_{i+1}[k]\right)^{(i-1)}$. Observe that in iteration $i$, the digits are sorted with respect to digit $i$. Since the subroutine employed in Radixsort is a stable sort algorithm, the relative order of the two numbers has not changed since their $i$ th digits are identical. This implies that the relative order of the two numbers was the same at the beginning of iteration $i$. This is a contradiction, since the loop invariant at the beginning of iteration $i$ states that the digits are sorted with respect to their $i-1$ last digits, however, $\left(A_{i+1}[j]\right)^{(i-1)}>\left(A_{i+1}[k]\right)^{(i-1)}$ holds.
Next, suppose that digit $i$ of $\left(A_{i+1}[j]\right)^{(i)}$ and digit $i$ of $\left(A_{i+1}[k]\right)^{(i)}$ are different. Then, since $\left(A_{i+1}[j]\right)^{(i)}>\left(A_{i+1}[k]\right)^{(i)}$ we have that digit $i$ of $\left(A_{i+1}[j]\right)^{(i)}$ is necessarily larger than digit $i$ of $\left(A_{i+1}[k]\right)^{(i)}$. This however is a contradiction to the fact that the numbers were sorted with respect to their $i$ th digit in iteration $i$.
Hence, the assumption that there are indices $j, k$ such that $\left(A_{i+1}[j]\right)^{(i)}>\left(A_{i+1}[k]\right)^{(i)}$ is wrong. If no such indices exist then the integers in $A$ are sorted with respect to their last $i$ digits at the beginning of iteration $i+1$.
3. Termination: Use the loop-invariant to conclude that $A$ is sorted after the execution of the algorithm.

Solution. After iteration $d$ (or before iteration $d+1$, which is never executed), the invariant states that the numbers in $A$ are sorted with respect to their last $d$ digits, which simply means that all numbers are now sorted with regards to all their digits.

## 3 Recurrences: Substitution Method

1. Consider the following recurrence:

$$
T(1)=1 \text { and } T(n)=T(n-1)+n
$$

Show that $T(n) \in O\left(n^{2}\right)$ using the substitution method.

Solution. We need to show that $T(n) \leq C \cdot n^{2}$, for some suitable constant $C$. To this end, we first plug our guess into the recurrence:

$$
T(n)=T(n-1)+n \leq C(n-1)^{2}+n
$$

It is required that $C(n-1)^{2}+n \leq C n^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
C(n-1)^{2}+n & \leq C n^{2} \\
C\left(n^{2}-2 n+1\right)+n & \leq C n^{2} \\
C-2 C n+n & \leq 0 \\
C(1-2 n) & \leq-n \\
C & \geq \frac{n}{2 n-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that $\frac{n}{2 n-1} \leq 1$ holds for every $n \geq 1$. Our guess thus holds for every $C \geq 1$.
It remains to verify the base case. We have $T(1)=1$ and $C 1^{2}=C$. Hence, $C 1^{2} \leq T(1)$ holds for every $C \geq 1$. We thus choose $C=1$.
We have shown that $T(n) \leq C n^{2}=n^{2}$ holds for every $n \geq 1$. This implies that $T(n)=$ $O\left(n^{2}\right)$.
2. Consider the following recurrence:

$$
T(1)=1 \text { and } T(n)=T(\lceil n / 2\rceil)+1
$$

Show that $T(n) \in O(\log n)$ using the substitution method.
Hint: Use the inequality $\lceil n / 2\rceil \leq \frac{n}{\sqrt{2}}=\frac{n}{2^{\frac{1}{2}}}$, which holds for all $n \geq 2$. Use $n=2$ as your base case.

Solution. We need to show that $T(n) \leq C \cdot \log n$, for a suitable constant $C$. To this end, we plug our guess into the recurrence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(n) & =T(\lceil n / 2\rceil)+1 \\
& \leq C \cdot \log (\lceil n / 2\rceil)+1 \\
& \leq C \cdot \log \left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{2}}\right)+1 \\
& =C \log (n)-C \cdot \frac{1}{2} \log (2)+1 \\
& =C \log (n)-\frac{1}{2} C+1
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used the inequality $\lceil n / 2\rceil \leq \frac{n}{\sqrt{2}}$. It is required that $C \log (n)-\frac{1}{2} C+1 \leq C \log (n)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
C \log (n)-\frac{1}{2} C+1 & \leq C \log (n) \\
1 & \leq \frac{1}{2} C \\
2 & \leq C
\end{aligned}
$$

The "induction step" part of the proof thus works for any $C \geq 2$. Regarding the base case, we will consider $n=2$. We have:

$$
T(2)=T(1)+1=2 .
$$

We thus need to show that $2 \leq C \log 2$. This holds for every $C \geq 2$. We can thus pick the value $C=2$. This proves that $T(n) \in O(\log n)$.

## 4 Optional and Difficult Questions

Exercises in this section are intentionally more difficult and are there to challenge yourself.

### 4.1 Algorithmic Puzzle: Maxima of Windows of length $n / 2$

We are given an array $A$ of $n$ positive integers, where $n$ is even. Give an algorithm that outputs an array $B$ of length $n / 2$ such that $B[i]=\max \{A[j], i \leq j \leq i+n / 2-1\}$. Can you find an algorithm that runs in time $O(n)$ ?

Solution. Let $C[i]$ and $D[i]$ be new arrays of lengths $n / 2$. We first observe that we can rewrite $B[i]$ as the maximum of two maxima:

$$
\begin{aligned}
B[i] & =\max \{C[i], D[i]\}, \text { where } \\
C[i] & =\max \{A[j]: i \leq j \leq n / 2-1\}, \text { and } \\
D[i] & =\max (\{A[j]: n / 2 \leq j \leq i+n / 2-1\} \cup\{0\})
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose we already computed the tables $C$ and $D$. Then in $O(n)$ time, we can compute the table $B$ by computing the maxima $\max \{C[i], D[i]\}$ for every $0 \leq i \leq n / 2-1$. It thus remains to compute tables $C$ and $D$. To this end, observe that $C[n / 2-1]=A[n / 2-1]$, and for every $k<n / 2-1$, we have $C[k]=\max \{A[k], C[k+1]\}$. We thus obtain the following algorithm for computing the table $C$ :

```
Algorithm 1 Computing table \(C\)
    \(C[n / 2-1] \leftarrow A[n / 2-1]\)
    for \(i=n / 2-2 \ldots 0\) do
        \(C[i] \leftarrow \max \{A[i], C[i+1]\}\)
    end for
```

Similarly, observe that $D[0]=0$, and for every $k>0$, we have $D[k]=\max \{D[k-1], A[k+$ $n / 2]\}$. We thus obtain the following algorithm for computing table $D$ :

```
Algorithm 2 Computing table \(D\)
    \(D[0] \leftarrow 0\)
    for \(i=1 \ldots n / 2-1\) do
        \(D[i] \leftarrow \max \{A[i+n / 2], D[i-1]\}\)
    end for
```

Computing tables $C$ and $D$ takes $O(n)$ time. The total runtime is therefore $O(n)$.

