Why Constants Matter Less COMS10018 - Algorithms

Dr Christian Konrad

Runtime of an Algorithm

Runtime of an Algorithm

• Function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ that maps the input length $n \in \mathbb{N}$ to the number of simple/unit/elementary operations (worst case, best case, average case, runtime on a specific input, . . .)

Runtime of an Algorithm

- Function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ that maps the input length $n \in \mathbb{N}$ to the number of simple/unit/elementary operations (worst case, best case, average case, runtime on a specific input, . . .)
- \bullet The number of array accesses in $PEAK$ $FINDING$ represents the number of unit operations very well

Runtime of an Algorithm

- Function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ that maps the input length $n \in \mathbb{N}$ to the number of simple/unit/elementary operations (worst case, best case, average case, runtime on a specific input, ...)
- \bullet The number of array accesses in $PEAK$ $FINDING$ represents the number of unit operations very well

Which runtime is better?

- \bullet 4(n 1) (simple peak finding algorithm)
- \bullet 5 log *n* (fast peak finding algorithm)

Runtime of an Algorithm

- Function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ that maps the input length $n \in \mathbb{N}$ to the number of simple/unit/elementary operations (worst case, best case, average case, runtime on a specific input, ...)
- \bullet The number of array accesses in $PEAK$ $FINDING$ represents the number of unit operations very well

Which runtime is better?

- \bullet 4(n 1) (simple peak finding algorithm)
- \bullet 5 log *n* (fast peak finding algorithm)
- $0.1n^2$
- \bullet n log(0.5n)
- $0.01 \cdot 2^n$

Runtime of an Algorithm

- Function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ that maps the input length $n \in \mathbb{N}$ to the number of simple/unit/elementary operations (worst case, best case, average case, runtime on a specific input, ...)
- \bullet The number of array accesses in $PEAK$ $FINDING$ represents the number of unit operations very well

Which runtime is better?

- \bullet 4(n 1) (simple peak finding algorithm)
- \bullet 5 log *n* (fast peak finding algorithm)
- $0.1n^2$
- $n \log(0.5n)$
- $0.01 \cdot 2^n$

Answer:

Runtime of an Algorithm

- Function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ that maps the input length $n \in \mathbb{N}$ to the number of simple/unit/elementary operations (worst case, best case, average case, runtime on a specific input, ...)
- \bullet The number of array accesses in $PEAK$ $FINDING$ represents the number of unit operations very well

Which runtime is better?

- \bullet 4(n 1) (simple peak finding algorithm)
- \bullet 5 log *n* (fast peak finding algorithm)
- $0.1n^2$
- \bullet n log(0.5n)
- $0.01 \cdot 2^n$

Answer: It depends...

- Function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ that maps the input length $n \in \mathbb{N}$ to the number of simple/unit/elementary operations (worst case, best case, average case, runtime on a specific input, ...)
- \bullet The number of array accesses in $PEAK$ $FINDING$ represents the number of unit operations very well

Which runtime is better?

- \bullet 4(n 1) (simple peak finding algorithm)
- \bullet 5 log *n* (fast peak finding algorithm)
- $0.1n^2$
- \bullet n log(0.5n)
- $0.01 \cdot 2^n$

Answer: It depends... But there is a favourite

Aim: We would like to sort algorithms according to their runtime

Is algorithm \overline{A} faster than algorithm \overline{B} ?

Aim: We would like to sort algorithms according to their runtime

Is algorithm \overline{A} faster than algorithm \overline{B} ?

Asymptotic Complexity

Aim: We would like to sort algorithms according to their runtime

Is algorithm \overline{A} faster than algorithm \overline{B} ?

Asymptotic Complexity

 \bullet For large enough *n*, constants seem to matter less

Aim: We would like to sort algorithms according to their runtime

Is algorithm A faster than algorithm B ?

Asymptotic Complexity

- \bullet For large enough *n*, constants seem to matter less
- For small values of n , most algorithms are fast anyway

Aim: We would like to sort algorithms according to their runtime

Is algorithm \overline{A} faster than algorithm \overline{B} ?

Asymptotic Complexity

- \bullet For large enough *n*, constants seem to matter less
- For small values of n , most algorithms are fast anyway (Attention: this is often but not always true!)

Aim: We would like to sort algorithms according to their runtime

Is algorithm \overline{A} faster than algorithm \overline{B} ?

Asymptotic Complexity

- \bullet For large enough *n*, constants seem to matter less
- For small values of n , most algorithms are fast anyway (Attention: this is often but not always true!)

Solution: Consider asymptotic behavior of functions

Aim: We would like to sort algorithms according to their runtime

Is algorithm \overline{A} faster than algorithm \overline{B} ?

Asymptotic Complexity

- \bullet For large enough *n*, constants seem to matter less
- For small values of n , most algorithms are fast anyway (Attention: this is often but not always true!)

Solution: Consider asymptotic behavior of functions A function $f(n)$ grows asymptotically at least as fast as a function $g(n)$ if there exists an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $n \geq n_0$ it holds:

 $f(n) > g(n)$.

Example: f grows at least as fast as g

Example: $f(n) = \frac{1}{2}n^2$, $g(n) = 3n$

Example: $f(n) = \frac{1}{2}n^2$, $g(n) = 3n$

Then $f(n)$ grows asymptotically at least as fast as $g(n)$.

Proof:

$$
\frac{1}{2}n^2 \geq 3n
$$

$$
\frac{1}{2}n^2 \geq 3n \Rightarrow
$$

$$
n \geq 6.
$$

Proof: Find values of *n* for which the following holds:

$$
\frac{1}{2}n^2 \geq 3n \Rightarrow
$$

$$
n \geq 6.
$$

Thus, we can chose any $n_0 \geq 6$.

Example:
$$
f(n) = 2n^3
$$
, $g(n) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2^n$

Example: $f(n) = 2n^3$, $g(n) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2^n$

Then $g(n)$ grows asymptotically at least as fast as $f(n)$.

Proof:

Example: $f(n) = 2n^3$, $g(n) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2^n$

Then $g(n)$ grows asymptotically at least as fast as $f(n)$.

$$
\frac{1}{2} \cdot 2^n \geq 2n^3
$$

$$
\frac{1}{2} \cdot 2^{n} \ge 2n^{3}
$$

$$
2^{n-1} \ge 2^{3 \log n + 1} \quad \text{(using } n = 2^{\log n})
$$

$$
\frac{1}{2} \cdot 2^{n} \ge 2n^{3}
$$

\n
$$
2^{n-1} \ge 2^{3 \log n + 1} \text{ (using } n = 2^{\log n})
$$

\n
$$
n-1 \ge 3 \log n + 1
$$

$$
\frac{1}{2} \cdot 2^{n} \ge 2n^{3}
$$

\n
$$
2^{n-1} \ge 2^{3 \log n + 1} \text{ (using } n = 2^{\log n})
$$

\n
$$
n-1 \ge 3 \log n + 1
$$

\n
$$
n \ge 3 \log n + 2
$$

Proof: Find values of *n* for which the following holds:

$$
\frac{1}{2} \cdot 2^n \ge 2n^3
$$

\n
$$
2^{n-1} \ge 2^{3 \log n + 1} \text{ (using } n = 2^{\log n})
$$

\n
$$
n-1 \ge 3 \log n + 1
$$

\n
$$
n \ge 3 \log n + 2
$$

This holds for every $n \geq 16$ (which follows from the racetrack *principle*). Thus, we chose any $n_0 > 16$.

 \bigcirc $f(k) \geq g(k)$ and

•
$$
f'(n) \geq g'(n)
$$
 for every $n \geq k$.

Then for every $n \geq k$, it holds that $f(n) \geq g(n)$.

Racetrack Principle: Let f, g be functions, k an integer and suppose that the following holds: \bigcirc $f(k) \geq g(k)$ and $2 \quad f'(n) \geq g'(n)$ for every $n \geq k$. Then for every $n > k$, it holds that $f(n) > g(n)$.

 \bigcirc $f(k) \geq g(k)$ and

•
$$
f'(n) \geq g'(n)
$$
 for every $n \geq k$.

Then for every $n > k$, it holds that $f(n) > g(n)$.

Example: $n > 3 \log n + 2$ holds for every $n > 16$

• $n \geq 3 \log n + 2$ holds for $n = 16$

 \bigcirc $f(k) \geq g(k)$ and

•
$$
f'(n) \geq g'(n)
$$
 for every $n \geq k$.

Then for every $n > k$, it holds that $f(n) > g(n)$.

- $n \geq 3 \log n + 2$ holds for $n = 16$
- We have: $(n)' =$

 \bigcirc $f(k) \geq g(k)$ and

•
$$
f'(n) \geq g'(n)
$$
 for every $n \geq k$.

Then for every $n > k$, it holds that $f(n) > g(n)$.

- $n \geq 3 \log n + 2$ holds for $n = 16$
- We have: $(n)' = 1$

 \bigcirc $f(k) \geq g(k)$ and

•
$$
f'(n) \geq g'(n)
$$
 for every $n \geq k$.

Then for every $n > k$, it holds that $f(n) > g(n)$.

- $n \geq 3 \log n + 2$ holds for $n = 16$
- We have: $(n)' = 1$ and $(3 \log n + 2)' =$

 \bigcirc $f(k) \geq g(k)$ and $2 \quad f'(n) \geq g'(n)$ for every $n \geq k$.

Then for every $n \geq k$, it holds that $f(n) \geq g(n)$.

- $n \geq 3 \log n + 2$ holds for $n = 16$
- We have: $(n)' = 1$ and $(3 \log n + 2)' = \frac{3}{n!n}$ n ln 2

 \bigcirc $f(k) \geq g(k)$ and

•
$$
f'(n) \geq g'(n)
$$
 for every $n \geq k$.

Then for every $n > k$, it holds that $f(n) > g(n)$.

- $n \geq 3 \log n + 2$ holds for $n = 16$
- We have: $(n)' = 1$ and $(3 \log n + 2)' = \frac{3}{n \ln 2} < \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ for every $n > 16$. The result follows.

If \leq means grows asymptotically at least as fast as then we get:

 $5\log n \leq 4(n-1) \leq n\log(n/2) \leq 0.1n^2 ~\leq 0.01\cdot 2^n$